

1 (D) REMARKS


2 In the parent application hereto, a rejection against claims 1-7 under Sec. 103(a) was based on
3 U.S. Pat. No. 6,113,208 (Benjamin et al.) in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,520,616 B1, (Parks et al.).

4 Even though the references have some of the same system elements (e.g., ROM on cartridge),
5 the invention is fundamentally different. The prior art just stores a version number and a URL of
6 where the driver is located, and expect that everyone has access to the Internet. The present
7 invention works by delivering the code itself. The problems addressed and solutions provided
8 and claimed in accordance with the present invention are fundamentally different.

9 Questions or suggestions that will advance the case to allowance may be directed to the
10 undersigned by teleconference at the Examiner's convenience.

11 Date: MAR. 2, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



Eugene H. Valet
Attorney Reg. No. 31,435
(425) 672-3147 fax 640-525

12 Eugene H. Valet
13 Valet.Patents@verizon.net
14 314 10th Ave. South
15 Edmonds WA 98020¹//

¹ Absent a filing herewith to the contrary, all correspondence is to continue to be addressed per the Declaration.